



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/026,670 | 12/21/2001 | Mark E. Abdella | 101P23USU1 7063 | |
| 75 | 90 12/22/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| Lynn C Cameron | | | THOMPSON, HUGH B | |
| Faegre & Benson 2200 Wells Fargo Center | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 90 South Seventh Street | | | 3634 | |
| Minneapolis, MN 55401-3901 | | | DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | My |
|---|----|
| | 1 |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Notice of Abandanment | 10/026,670 | ABDELLA ET AL. | | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Hugh B. Thompson II | 3634 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | orrespondence address | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | · | | | |
| . ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a controlled to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed to the proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on, but it does not proposed reply was received on | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on |), which is after the expiration of the | | | |
| | | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| . The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| 5. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for seeking court review | | | |
| 7. ⊠ The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| Note that this formal holding of abandonment does | restrict applicant's right to petition | this notice of abandonment. | | | |
| | | Hugh B. Thompson II Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3634 | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20041210